

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Guited States Patent and Trademark, Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Adexandria, Virginia 22313-1480 www.uspfo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/009,635	12/14/2001	Friedhelm Eisenbeiss	MERCK 2335	9780
23599 7	3599 7590 12/02/2003		EXAMINER	
MILLEN, WHITE, ZELANO & BRANIGAN, P.C. 2200 CLARENDON BLVD.			OLSEN, KAJ K	
SUITE 1400		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ARLINGTON, VA 22201			1753	

DATE MAILED: 12/02/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<del></del>		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary							
		10/009,635	EISENBEISS ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
	The REAL INCO DATE of this communication one	Kaj Olsen	1753				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status							
1)	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Thi	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims  4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application.							
, · · · ·	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
_	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
· · ·	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachmen		,					
2) 🔲 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>12</u>	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 1753

#### DETAILED ACTION

#### Specification

The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout for the specification of a utility application. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

### Arrangement of the Specification

As provided in 37 CFR 1.77(b), the specification of a utility application should include the following sections in order. Each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underlining or bold type, as a section heading. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

- (a) TITLE OF THE INVENTION.
- (b) CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS.
- (c) STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT.
- (d) INCORPORATION-BY-REFERENCE OF MATERIAL SUBMITTED ON A COMPACT DISC (See 37 CFR 1.52(e)(5) and MPEP 608.05. Computer program listings (37 CFR 1.96(c)), "Sequence Listings" (37 CFR 1.821(c)), and tables having more than 50 pages of text are permitted to be submitted on compact discs.) or

REFERENCE TO A "MICROFICHE APPENDIX" (See MPEP § 608.05(a). "Microfiche Appendices" were accepted by the Office until March 1, 2001.)

- (e) BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION.
  - (1) Field of the Invention.
  - (2) Description of Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- (f) BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.
- (g) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING(S).
- (h) DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION.
- (i) CLAIM OR CLAIMS (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (j) ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (k) SEQUENCE LISTING (See MPEP § 2424 and 37 CFR 1.821-1.825. A "Sequence Listing" is required on paper if the application discloses a nucleotide or amino acid sequence as defined in 37 CFR 1.821(a) and if the required "Sequence Listing" is not submitted as an electronic document on compact disc).
- 1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: The Brief

Description of the Drawings does not describe each figure and subfigure.

2. On page 19, line 16, it is unclear what figure the applicant is referring to.

Art Unit: 1753

Appropriate correction is required.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 4. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 5. In claim 1, it is unclear what is being claimed with all of the claim language after the term "characterized". Apparatus claims should be drawn to what the apparatus structural is and not what the apparatus does.
- 6. In claim 1, it is unclear what is meant by "fluidic connections". Claim 3 defines this term as being various pumps, but it is unclear how a pump reads on the broadest reasonable interpretation of the term "fluidic connection".
- 7. In claim 2, it is unclear how to interpret a limitation calling for the apparatus to "essentially consists" of other elements. This should be "comprises" instead.
- 8. Claims 4 and 5 provide for the use of the analytical unit of claim 1, but, since the claims do not set forth any steps involved in the method/process, it is unclear what method/process applicant is intending to encompass. A claim is indefinite where it merely recites a use without any active, positive steps delimiting how this use is actually practiced.
- 9. Claims 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed recitation of a use, without setting forth any steps involved in the process, results in an improper definition of a

process, i.e., results in a claim which is not a proper process claim under 35 U.S.C. 101. See for example *Ex parte Dunki*, 153 USPQ 678 (Bd.App. 1967) and *Clinical Products, Ltd.* v. *Brenner*, 255 F. Supp. 131, 149 USPQ 475 (D.D.C. 1966).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

10. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 11. Claims 1, 2, 4, and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Chow et al (USP 5,989,402)
- With respect to the claim 1 as best understood, Chow discloses an analytical unit comprising a continuous-flow unit 10 made of plastic (col. 6, lines 1-21) having a microstructured channel system (col. 6, lines 22-33). Chow further discloses an adaptor chamber 206 for reversibly receiving the continuous-flow unit (paragraph bridging col. 9 and 10). The reservoirs 24 of the continuous-flow unit hold fluid and constitute a "fluidic supply" giving the claim language its broadest reasonable interpretation. Chow also discloses a power supply and at least one detector (col. 7, lines 1-15 and col. 17, lines 15-65). With respect to the claimed "fluidic connections", it would appear applicant means for this term to refer to some pumping means (see 112 rejection above and claim 3). In this case, it would appear that the electrokinetic pumping means utilized by Chow (paragraph bridging col. 7 and 8) would read on this term.

Application/Control Number: 10/009,635

Art Unit: 1753

Page 5

13. With respect to claim 2, the structure shown in figures 1A, 2A, and 6) would read on the set forth combination of a Y branch and a detector upstream of the branching point.

14. With respect to claims 4 and 5, that is only the intended use of the apparatus and the intended use need not be given further due consideration in determining patentability.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 15. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 16. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 17. Claim 3 (or claims 1-5 in the alternative) are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chow in view of Blankenstein et al (Biosensors & Bioelectronics, vol. 13, 1998, pp. 427-438).
- 18. With respect to claim 3, Chow set forth all the limitations of the claim but did not explicitly disclose any of those set forth "fluidic connections". Blankenstein discloses in an

alternate microfluidic device that other means of moving fluid are also useable with microfluidics including the use of syringe pumps (see fig. 2). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was being made to utilize the teaching of Blankenstein for the analytical unit of Chow because the substitution of one known means for moving fluid for another requires only routine skill in the art.

19. With respect to claim 1 in the alternative, if the term "fluidic connections" somehow excludes the use of electrokinetic fluid movement (as utilized by Chow), it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was being made to utilize the teaching of Blankenstein for the analytical unit of Chow because the substitution of one known means for moving fluid for another requires only routine skill in the art.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kaj Olsen whose telephone number is (703) 305-0506. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 7:00 AM-4:30 PM. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Nam Nguyen, can be reached at (703) 308-3322.

When filing a fax in Group 1700, please indicate in the header "Official" for papers that are to be entered into the file, and "Unofficial" for draft documents and other communications with the PTO that are not for entry into the file of this application. This will expedite processing

of your papers. The fax number for regular communications is (703) 305-3599 and the fax number form after-final communications is (703) 305-5408.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist, whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Kaj K. Olsen

Patent Examiner

AU 1753

November 25, 2003